

## **International Comparative Adult Education: Seven reflections I expect to read in each study.**

### **Checklist for beginning, during, or after**

**Jost Reischmann**

*Bamberg University, Germany*

Research never is perfect. We should expect in all studies, however, that researchers not only present their findings, but also their reflections on limitations as well as bias, and offer open questions for further research.

While these guidelines are applicable to research in all subjects and disciplines, Comparative Adult Education has its specific pitfalls of which researchers should be aware and on which they should reflect. Examples include personal blindness, political correctness, unavailable data, and misunderstanding of language, just to mention a few. Including such reflections in the research report/presentation/publication will provide a more objective, reliable, valid, honest, and self-critical contribution to knowledge in the field. The hope is that knowing the pitfalls will make international and comparative work sounder and more enjoyable, and avoid - or at least mitigate - weaknesses.

In the following discussion, I will present a selection of seven reflections I suggest be discussed in international comparative studies. This selection is based on 30 years of experience and observations in the International Society for Comparative Adult Education (ISCAE, [www.ISCAE.org](http://www.ISCAE.org)) and its publications (<http://www.iscae.org/publications.htm>, especially Reischmann 2021). Frequently in this paper old sources will be used to demonstrate that there is a long history of reflections on the method of comparison. These reflections presented here can be used as a sort of checklist before, during, or after an international-comparative project.

## 1. Why compare?

A first consideration should be to reflect, on what is expected from the comparison - the "why". Is it just for curiosity ("Isn't it interesting that .."), is it to learn and adopt something from abroad ("borrowing"), or is the goal to understand the other and one's own system better?

Already in 1975 Roby Kidd offered a list of "common goals" of comparative studies, going beyond "borrowing" and "imitating":

"The most common goals for comparative studies in adult education are:

- to become better informed about the educational system of other countries;
- to become better informed about the ways in which people in other cultures have carried out certain social functions by means of education;
- to become better informed about the historical roots of certain activities and thus to develop criteria for assessing contemporary developments and testing possible outcomes;
- to better understand the educational forms and systems operating in one's own country;
- to satisfy an interest in how other human beings live and learn;
- to better understand oneself;
- to reveal how one's own cultural biases and personal attributes affect one's judgment about possible ways of carrying on learning transactions." (Kidd 1975, 75)

Researchers that reflect on these different "whys" will develop a deeper understanding of their work - and will discover more and richer aspects during that work, thus enriching the results and academic outcomes.

## 2. Start with description, move to analysis!

A first basic classification discriminates between "descriptive" and "analytical" studies: As a first step, the researcher always will describe with more or less methodological rigor aspects of adult education. In the "old days" of international comparison such was in the focus of interest; to make the descriptions more comparable, already the historic "Exeter Papers" (Liveright & Haygood 1968) developed a description framework studies should follow. Again in the new days especially the "big data" studies, with dozens of countries included, mostly remain on the description level.

These descriptive studies look comprehensive and impressive. For sure, researchers should first supply a sound factographic basis. But (future) authors should be warned that an overload of data can lead to the “Descriptiveness-pitfall” (Bron 2008, 257), that is, describing instead of analyzing. The value of an international (one-country) study, however, is to make it understandable to others why, in a given national context, “the social, cultural, economic and political forces [that are] operating on the phenomena” (Titmus 1999, 37) are how they are. Moreover, in a comparative study (two or more countries): “That its author(s) look(s) explicitly for similarities and differences. ... A comparison ought to include explanations and reflections on why the similarities occur” (Bron 2008, 257).

Before starting an international comparative study it may be helpful to clarify how much description is wanted and how much analysis is possible, and to be aware that this decision can change during the research process.

### **3. Identify your type of international research!**

When going more into the details of comparative research it might be confusing that - as Titmus stated as early as 1999 - “most what is included under the rubric of comparative studies ... does not include comparison in the strict sense” (36) and may be labeled “pre-comparative”. This openness, however, allows the researcher to use different types of research in international (comparative) studies - each with its strengths and weaknesses ([www.ISCAE.org](http://www.ISCAE.org)).

To reflect on the strengths and weaknesses of the different types, researchers should at the outset identify the type of their attempt (Reischmann 2000, 13f).

- A first kind, mostly evaluated as ‘pre-scientific’, comprises ‘*traveler’s tales*’, the reports we get from international travelers. If these descriptions are more systematic, they are labeled ‘*traveler’s reports*’. These types of international documents are mostly characterized as ‘subjective-impressionistic’. Their value is evaluated as ambivalent: Critically it is argued that, because of the random observation and the subjective description, it is not clear how reliable and how representative the descriptions are. On the other hand, the plea is made that especially in this subjective focus of eye-witnesses there might be strength in this type of report.

At the scientific level, five types of international-comparative research are identified:

1. *Country-reports*: 'Adult Education in the Republic of ...' is a typical title of this type of report. These papers describe the system of adult and continuing education in one particular country. They could be written by an author of this country or by a person from outside. Some of these reports were, and are, rather impressionistic. Others followed a well-developed outline and structure.

2. *Program reports* describe foreign adult education programs, institutions, and organizations. Examples of this type can be found in the publications of Charters/Hilton (1989) or the case studies collected by Knox (1987). Included in this type (sometimes presented in a separate category) are the topic-oriented studies or the problem approach: a certain topic or problem is discussed in the context of a nation. Country reports as well as topic-oriented studies and the problem approach focus more on 'international' and less on 'comparative'. Because when only one country or program is presented, nothing to compare is available; the readers must draw comparative conclusions themselves.

3. A third type is juxtaposition. Data from two or more countries are presented: In country A we can observe a, in country B we find b. A series of statistical reports represent this type, but often no explicit comparison is given (e.g., where are the similarities, and what are the differences?).

4. The "real comparison" goes one step further: "A study in comparative international adult education ... must include one or more aspects of adult education in two or more countries or regions. Comparative study is not the mere placing side by side of data... . Such juxtaposition is only the prerequisite for comparison. At the next stage, one attempts to identify the similarities and differences between the aspects under study ... The real value of comparative study emerges only from ... the attempt to understand why the differences and similarities occur and what their significance is for adult education in the countries under examination ..." (Charters and Hilton 1989, 3).

5. Finally field- and method-reflections are seen as part of international comparative adult education: reflections about the methods, strategies, and concepts of international comparison, and summarizing reports about developments in the international comparative field – as it is done in this article.

6. A bit outside of this system, but still counted as part of the international tradition, are reports from the adult educational work of international and transnational organizations such as UNESCO, the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), and the World Bank.

It is helpful for interpretation and understanding for the author as well as the reader to identify and discuss the reason why the respective type was selected, and what the strengths and weaknesses are.

#### **4. Reflect language as a possible pitfall!**

The most common handicap in international comparative work is language: international communication takes place in English. Even this language, however, has its specific traps. Mansbridge, one of the pioneers of adult education in Britain and a restless traveler, reported an awkward situation: At a lecture in the USA he greeted the attending ladies with a word (“homely”), that in British English expresses appreciation, but in American English it means “super ugly”! (Mansbridge 1940, 95f).

It may comfort the new researcher that this problem even happens to high-ranked professionals. Already in one of the early publications (initiated and financed by the Council of Europe with 32 international experts from 12 West-European countries), Besnard & Liétard (1986, 4) noted in their editorial note: “the translation of the present text from French into English was made in ECLE [European Center for Leisure and Education, Prague]. Since there is uncertainty in adult education terminology world-wide, and important differences exist especially between French and English terminology, the translation was not easy”.

A first simple piece of advice is that native English speakers should take into account that English is a foreign language for most of their audience in an international context, and, accordingly, to avoid acronyms. In oral and written communication, it helps when one or two sentences explain what is meant - not just dropping names. Describing the context improves understanding.

To reduce the danger of misunderstanding it is helpful to have a network of colleagues in different countries. So the advice is: International societies such as ISCAE ([www.ISCAE.org](http://www.ISCAE.org)), ICAE (<http://icae.global/>), and ESREA (<https://esrea.org/>) are a great help to install such networks and exchanges. As a member of such societies, other researchers become available for correction and inspiration. This exchange can be on an informal person-to-

person contact, by Internet, or through participation in international conferences.

Another piece of advice is: to be critical of questionnaires and similar language-dependent instruments. The translation can lead to non-equivalent meanings for the different language groups. Bron (2008, 254) describes it as a “crucial issue ... whether questions and answers can be meaningfully translated from one language, and one social reality, into another”. Reichart (2017, 141) confirms this problem with the observation that “the simply translated questionnaire from the European manual [to the Kyrgyzstan context, JR] caused confusion among the survey institutes, the interviewers and the respondent” – even in such “simple” categories as “Household type” or “Marital status”. Another language limitation Sun & Erichsen point out (2012, 231): “when we introduce the East to the West, there is dearth of available literature for reference.”

Quite drastically, Guo & Beckett (2007, 117) call this language-problem to attention: “the increasing dominance of English language worldwide is contributing to neocolonialism by empowering the already powerful and leaving the disadvantages further behind ... putting them in danger to losing their first languages, cultures and identities, and contributing to the devaluation of local knowledge and culture.”

On the other hand, what is the alternative? Without English, there would be no ‘worldwide’ exchange possible. It seems we have to live with that handicap and to reflect on it when it comes up in a research project. This reflection on language issues even can be seen as a result of an international comparative research project: to make aware how different and rich and unique countries and their cultures are.

## **5. How does culture define the object under observation?**

Education is deeply rooted in the culture of a country. Sadler as early as 1900 (!) claimed: “we should not forget that the things outside the schools matter even more than the things inside the schools” (1900, 21). Institutions, laws, and political or cultural backgrounds are often so different that it is difficult to find an appropriate translation and comparison. Merriam (2007), as well as Sun & Erichsen (2012), illuminate the dramatic differences in perspectives on adult learning and education among different cultures. Moreover, Bron (2012) specifies pitfalls in comparative studies based on a cultural misunderstanding (“comparing the incomparable”).

Many aspects of culture influence the theory and practice of adult education: history, economy, religion, family tradition, the role of men and women, values -to name just some. Some of them might be more important in a specific research project, others less. Researchers should keep in mind that - as Jarvis (1992) states - "any comparative study of the education of adults requires a comparative study of the societies themselves" (1992, 128). This general claim of the words "culture" and "society" might threaten beginners in comparative research. Some authors use instead the term "context". In both ways: A researcher who reflects on these is already in the stage of "analytical comparison" - trying to understand the topic under observation.

These cultural reflections can take place at the beginning of a research project. Often, however, they come during the research process: Things happen, and information can not be understood immediately. "'You never know what happens' - that is a shared experience when working in international comparative adult education" (Reischmann 2021, 283). Such an occurrence is typical for international comparative research. These "discoveries" a researcher should document in the report - it is a result of the study and will help future researchers in their work.

It helps, before starting such a project, to collect information about the history and culture of the other country, try to find other literature or pieces of research, and develop a specific mindset: to be open, listening, and curious. As Sun & Erichsen expressed, "In order to listen and learn from the reality of the East, we believe one's mindset must be altered so openness and appreciativeness will come into play so we can recognize values we may otherwise miss" (2012, 232). This request sounds easy but definitely is not. Nevertheless, here applies a principle true in many life situations: It is better to do it half good than not starting to try!

Comparative research should not only look for similarities but also for differences. They might better enlighten the understanding of the cultural context, and add new perspectives to the improvement of comparative research. Lee (1999) points out at the end of a thorough comparison of values in Korea and Australia, that "characteristics which are significant in one culture and not in the other represents more important cross-cultural data than those characteristics that are relatively significant to both" (178).

## **6. What bias has the researcher?**

"All forms of comparative work involve comparison by somebody" (Titmus 1999, 37). Research is always performed by humans who have their own



cultural backgrounds. This “selective perception” threatens, especially in international projects, the perception of “the other”. Bereday (1961!, 41) claims “never-ceasing watchfulness by the observer to control his own cultural or personal bias”.

Therefore, authors and researchers in international comparative adult education are advised to explicitly reflect on their personal cultural or personal background: the nearness to certain institutions, who pay for the research, feeling of a “mission” (open or hidden?), adult education as a social movement or “learning for earning”? Basically, are researchers blinded by what they see as “normal”? This reflection on the personal bias will have two results: First, it will bring about a deeper insight into the phenomenon under observation and, as well, it - hopefully - changes the personality of the researcher to develop a more open and understanding mindset, as already mentioned above (at 4.).

## **7. Read about the method of comparison!**

This advice may sound unnecessary in the academic field: “When doing comparison, read about the method of comparison!” It is well grounded, though: The experience in many conferences and publications showed that the literature-lists included many titles about the content of the study, but (nearly) no literature refers to the method and discussion about the value, technique, and pitfalls of international comparison. Often it seems the authors started comparison without knowledge of the long tradition of publications about comparison (“Just doing comparison!”). This repeats mistakes, avoids a deeper quality, and makes these studies less informative than they could be. Moreover, it leaves the author with a foggy feeling: to work with an individual approach instead of assuring him to stand based on known and shared standards.

“Standing on the shoulders” of those, who offered knowledge about content, methods, and reflections helps to strengthen the quality of comparison and make access into this field more enjoyable and easier. Easy access to the literature on standards of international comparative adult education is offered in the publications of the International Society for Comparative Adult Education ([www.ISCAE.org/publications](http://www.ISCAE.org/publications)).

## **8. Three promises**

Of course, this list of suggestions could be much longer - but it seems a good beginning to apply the presented pieces of advice and suggestions. When going through the seven considerations of this article as a sort of checklist for international comparison in adult education three results can be promised:



Firstly: The quality of the research will be better, more reflected, deeper grounded - more objective, reliable, and valid, contributing to the advancement of the field.

Secondly: At the same time, researchers will feel safer in their arguments, based on the knowledge of shared standards and experiences.

A third result can be promised: The people in the “international arena” are “easy-to-have”-people, who offer friendship and inspiration: Charters/Hilton (1989, 163) describe as a benefit of international meetings “to celebrate the great good fortune of international collegiality”. This growing into an international network enriches the researcher personally and professionally.

Try it!

## References

(When old texts are reprinted in Reischmann 2021, the pages given in the text refer to the reprint)

- Bereday, George Z. F.. 1964. *Comparative Method in Education*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.) 2021. 38-49.
- Besnard, Pierre, & Liétard, Bernard. 1986. *Adult education in Europe. Methodological framework for comparative studies II*. Prague: European Centre for Leisure and Education. No 23.
- Bron Jr, Michał. 2008. “Pitfalls in Comparative Studies”. In *Comparative adult education 2008. Experiences and Examples*, edited by Jost Reischmann, Michał Bron Jr., 65-80. Frankfurt: Peter Lang Publisher. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 254-269.
- Charters, Alexander N., Ronald J. Hilton (ed.). 1989. *Landmarks in International Adult Education. A Comparative Analysis*. Routledge: London. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 146-163.
- Guo, Yan, and Beckett, Gulbahar H. 2007. “The hegemony of English as a global language: Reclaiming local knowledge and culture in China.” *Convergence*, XL(1-2): 117-131.
- Jarvis, Peter (ed). 1992. *Perspectives on Adult Education and Training in Europe*. Malabar: Krieger Publishing Company. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 121-130.
- Kidd, James Robbins. 1975. “Comparative Adult Education: The First Decade.” In *Comparative studies in adult education*, edited by Cliff Bennett, J. Roby Kidd, Jindra Kulich. Occasional papers. Syracuse University, Publications in Adult Education; no. 44. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 71-83
- Knox, Alan B. 1987. *International Perspectives on Adult Education*. Columbus, Ohio: ERIC clearinghouse on adult, career and vocational education.
- Lee, Kwan-Chun. 1999. Methodical issues in cross-cultural study of adults value system. In *Comparative Adult Education 1998*, edited by Jost Reischmann,

- Michal Bron Jr, Zoran Jelenc. Ljubljana: Slovenian Institute for Adult Education. 1999. 169-181.
- Liveright, Alexander Albert & Haygood, Noreen (Eds.). 1968. *The Exeter Papers. Report of the First International Conference on the Comparative Study of Adult Education*. Brookline, Mass.: Center for the Study of Liberal Education for Adults at Boston University. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED020487.pdf>
- Mansbridge, Albert. 1940. *The trodden road*. London: Dent and Sons. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 93-96.
- Merriam, Sharan B., and Associates. 2007. *Non-Western Perspectives on Learning and Knowing*. Kruger, Malabar. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 204-221.
- Reichart, Elisabeth. 2017. "Participation in Adult Education in Kyrgyzstan – Exploring an uncharted territory with familiar methods (?)" . In *Proceedings ISCAE/COMPALL conference*. University of Würzburg, Germany. 219-232. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 131-144.
- Reischmann, Jost. 2000. *The Meaning of 'International Comparative'. Problems and Perspectives*. [www.ISCAE.org](http://www.ISCAE.org). Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 13-17.
- Reischmann, Jost (ed.). 2021. *Essential Readings in International and Comparative Adult Education*. Ziel Verlag: Augsburg, Germany.
- Sadler, Michael. 1900. *How far can we learn anything of practical value from the study of foreign systems of education?* Guilford Surrey Advertiser Office. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021, 21-24.
- Sun, Qi, and Erichsen Elizabeth. 2012. "Bridging Adult Education between East and West: Critical Reflection and Examination of Western Perspectives on Eastern Reality". *Proceedings of the ISCAE-conference in Las Vegas*, 213-237. Reprint in Jost Reischmann (ed.). 2021. 222-234.
- Titmus, Colin. 1999. "Comparative Adult Education: Some reflections on the Process". In *Comparative Adult Education 1998*, edited by Jost Reischmann, Michal Bron JR, Zoran Jelenc, 33-50. Ljubljana: Slovenian Institute for Adult Education.
- [www.ISCAE.org](http://www.ISCAE.org) – homepage of the International Society for Comparative Adult Education.

**International Comparative Adult Education: Seven reflections I expect to read in each study.**

Jost Reischmann

**Abstract**

International comparative adult education has a long history and has developed standards and guidelines. But in the reality of international work researchers often have limited time and resources to go deeper into these methodological reflections, because they only periodically focus on international comparison. The following paper presents seven reflections that could help researchers to raise the quality of their work. Integrating them into research practice contributes to the advancement of international comparative adult education while upholding standards of quality and rigor.

### **Key words**

Adult education, international comparison, research methodology, avoiding pitfalls in international research, quality standards.

---

### **Educación internacional comparada de adultos: Siete reflexiones que espero encontrar en cada estudio.**

Jost Reischmann

#### **Resumen**

La educación internacional comparada de adultos tiene una larga historia y ha desarrollado normas y directrices. Pero en la realidad del trabajo internacional, los investigadores suelen disponer de poco tiempo y recursos limitados para profundizar en estas reflexiones metodológicas, ya que solo se centran periódicamente en la comparación internacional. El siguiente documento presenta siete reflexiones que podrían ayudar a los investigadores a mejorar la calidad de su trabajo. Su integración en la práctica de la investigación contribuye al avance de la educación de adultos comparada a nivel internacional, manteniendo al mismo tiempo los estándares de calidad y rigor.

#### **Palabras clave**

Educación de adultos, comparación internacional, metodología de investigación, evitar trampas

---

### **L'éducation internationale comparée des adultes : Sept réflexions que je m'attends à lire dans chaque étude.**

Jost Reischmann

#### **Résumé**

L'éducation des adultes comparée au niveau international a une longue histoire et a développé des normes et des lignes directrices. Mais dans la réalité du travail international, les chercheurs disposent souvent de peu de temps et de ressources pour approfondir ces réflexions méthodologiques, car ils ne se concentrent que périodiquement sur la comparaison internationale. Le document suivant présente sept réflexions qui pourraient aider les chercheurs à améliorer la qualité de leur travail. Leur intégration dans la pratique de la recherche contribue à l'avancement de l'éducation des adultes comparée au niveau international, tout en respectant les normes de qualité et de rigueur.

**Mots clés**

Éducation des adultes, comparaison internationale, méthodologie de recherche, éviter les pièges de la recherche internationale, normes de qualité